## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA \*

\*

v. \* CRIMINAL NO. GLR-17-631

\*

NGHIA PHO,

\*

Defendant

\*\*\*\*\*\*

## **GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO SEAL**

The United States of America, by and through the undersigned attorneys, hereby moves for an order sealing the Government's Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Sentencing Reduction. The filing contains the defendant's private medical information.

In order to seal the opposition brief, "the government must demonstrate that: 1) there is a compelling governmental interest requiring materials to be kept under seal; and 2) there is no less restrictive means, such as redaction, available." *In re Search Warrants Issued on April 26*, 2004, 353 F. Supp. 2d 584, 591 (D. Md. 2004) (citing *United States v. Oliver*, No. 99-4231, 2000 U.S. App. LEXIS 3630, at \*4 (4th Cir. Va. Mar. 9, 2000)). Here, there is a compelling interest in maintaining certain material under seal. The Government anticipates being able to file a redacted document on the public docket, after consultation with defense counsel.

## 

The procedures for sealing are set forth in *Baltimore Sun Co. v. Goetz*, 886 F.2d 60 (4th Cir. 1989): "The judicial officer may explicitly adopt the facts that the government presents to justify the sealing . . . ." *Id.* at 65.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert K. Hur United States Attorney

By: /s/

Thomas P. Windom Assistant United States Attorney